

UNITED STA

NEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	TORNEY DOCKET NO.		
08/745,509 11/12/9		6 FELD	М	MIT-6186Z	
		QM41/0107 ¬	EX	EXAMINER	
THOMAS O HOOVER			SMITH	SMITH,R	
		AND REYNOLDS		·	
TWO MILI	TIA DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LEXINGTO	N MA 02173-4	799	3737		
•			DATE MAILED:	01/07/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/745,509

Ruth S. Smith

Approant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

3737

Feld et al



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 22, 1998	·				
X This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, p in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.C.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be 37 CFR 1.136(a).	he period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	_ is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
	is/are rejected.				
Claim(s)					
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 The drawing(s) filed on					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐appro					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority document.					
received.					
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Burea 					
*Certified copies not received:					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e).				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PA	GES				





-2-

Serial Number: 08/745,509

Art Unit: 3737

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 12/22/98 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/745,509 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 4/27/98 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The information disclosure statement filed 4/27/98 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a petition requesting consideration of the information disclosure statement. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the in figure 1, box 80 should be labeled visible light source. FPA 140 is not seen in figure 4 as disclosed. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 15-19,29,30,32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. An acousto-optical filter or equivalent filter means critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188





-3-

Serial Number: 08/745,509

Art Unit: 3737

USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Applicant fails to disclose how the system would properly operate without the use of a filter positioned to receive the light reflected from the body

before it passes to the FPA sensor.

Claims 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to disclose a method of endoscopic imaging using a sensor array that senses endogenous fluorescence. The disclosed method senses Raman scattered light. The only reference to sensing fluorescence of endogenous tissie is at page 4, line 30. The specification fails to provide any teaching of structural elements which are to be used to provide for such a measurement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-17,19-24,26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alfano et al (5,293,872) in view of Lewis et al and Ito or Nagasaki et al. Alfano et al disclose the basic teaching of using a Raman endoscope as a diagnostic tool in examining tissue in vivo. Alfano et al discloses the use of a Nd:YAG laser to excite the tissue. Alfano et al also disclose the use of a broad band light source in order to provide a visible image of the tissue. Lewis et al disclose a





-4-

Serial Number: 08/745,509

Art Unit: 3737

spectroscopic imaging device that includes an acousto-optic tunable filter and a focal plane array detector. The focal plane array detector is cooled with liquid nitrogen. The invention of Lewis et al relates to non-invasively collecting images at multiple discreet wavelengths in the visible, infrared or near-infrared region. The device of Lewis et al. is applicable to biological materials. Lewis et al disclose forming a plurality of images at different infrared wavelengths as seen in column 12. Lewis et al specifically refers to the use of the spectroscopic imaging device in a microscope but states in column 16 that the invention can be applied to other traditional absorption or emission spectroscopic approaches. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified Alfano et al such that the detector used is a focal plane array for the advantages disclosed by Lewis et al such as improved spectral and spatial resolution. Furthermore, it should be noted that it is a well known expedient in the art to place the imaging device at the distal end of the endoscope rather than using an optical fiber to transmit the detected radiation to an image sensor. Examples of such is shown in Ito and Nagasaki et al. Ito and Nagasaki et al also disclose the use of a filter in front of the image sensor to filter out undesired wavelengths. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have further modified Alfano et al such that the focal plane array sensor is placed at the distal end of the endoscope. The advantage of such is to prevent the quality of pictures from deteriorating due to the breaking of optical fibers.

Claims 18,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alfano et al (5,293,872) in view of Lewis et al and Ito or Nagasaki et al as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Sekiguchi. Sekiguchi discloses an endoscope that provides both a visible image and an image that provide information regarding tissue properties. The images are displayed simultaneously by a processing unit. Therefore, the system provides means for comparing the images. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the images displayed by Alfano et al are





-5-

Serial Number: 08/745,509

Art Unit: 3737

simultaneously displayed such that they can be compared. Such comparison provides a more enhanced diagnostic evaluation tool.

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/98 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the rejection of claims 29-34 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph, the specification fails to disclose a method of endoscopic imaging using a sensor array that senses endogenous fluorescence. The disclosed method senses Raman scattered light. The only reference to sensing fluorescence of endogenous tissie is at page 4, line 30. The specification fails to provide any teaching of structural elements which are to be used to provide for such a measurement. The U.S. Patent and applications referred to on page 15 fail to disclose a method as claimed for sensing fluorescence of endogenous tissue using the structure claimed.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show non-obviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co., Inc.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/745,509. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any





Serial Number: 08/745,509

Art Unit: 3737

-6-

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S. Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-3063.

' RUTH S. SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3737

RSS January 6, 1999